

Scour Manual

Current-Related Erosion SECOND EDITION

edited by

G.J.C.M. Hoffmans H.J. Verheij



Scour Manual



Scour Manual

Current-Related Erosion

SECOND EDITION

G.J.C.M. Hoffmans

Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands

H.J. Verheij

Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands



Cover image: depth sounding commissioned by Rijkswaterstaat at the weir at Grave after a collision with a ship.

CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2021 Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK

Typeset by codeMantra

All rights reserved. No part of this publication or the information contained herein may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, by photocopying, recording or otherwise, without written prior permission from the publisher.

Although all care is taken to ensure integrity and the quality of this publication and the information herein, no responsibility is assumed by the publishers nor the author for any damage to the property or persons as a result of operation or use of this publication and/ or the information contained herein.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data Applied for

Published by: CRC Press/Balkema

Schipholweg 107C, 2316 XC Leiden, The Netherlands

e-mail: Pub.NL@taylorandfrancis.com

www.crcpress.com-www.taylorandfrancis.com

ISBN: 978-0-367-67594-3 (hbk) ISBN: 978-0-367-67597-4 (pbk) ISBN: 978-1-003-13196-0 (ebk) DOI: 10.1201/10.1201/b22624

https://doi.org/10.1201/b22624

Editorial Board

G.J.C. Hoffmans (Deltares, hoffmans.advice@outlook.com)

H.J. Verheij (Deltares, henkverheij@hotmail.com)

J.P.F.M. Janssen (Rijkswaterstaat, chair)

M.J.K. de Graaf (Rijkswaterstaat, secretary)

G. Vergeer (SBRCUR)

M. Kroeders (DEME group)

T. Blokland (Rotterdam Port Authority)

F.A. Buschman (Deltares)

H.G. Tuin (Arcadis)

Contributors

Contributors 2020

M. van der Wal (Deltares)

E. Mosselman (Deltares)

Contributors cases

M. Kroeders (DEME group)

T. Blokland (Rotterdam Port Authority)

P. Groenewegen (RoyalHaskoningDHV)

G. Smith (Van Oord)

N.A. van der Leer (Witteveen+Bos)

H.G. Tuin (Arcadis)

J.P.F.M. Janssen (Rijkswaterstaat)

J. Kroon (Svasek Hydraulics)

G.J.C.M. Hoffmans (Deltares)

Contributors 1997

W. Leeuwestein

K.W. Pilarczyk

G.J. Schiereck

G.J. Akkerman

W.H.G. Klomp

J. van Duivendijk

R.O.Th. Zijlstra

Contents

Fo	preword	xv			
Acknowledgements List of main symbols List of main definitions		xvii xix xxiv			
			1	Introduction	1
				1.1 General 1	
	1.2 Scope of this manual 2				
	1.3 Reading guide 3				
2	Design process	6			
	2.1 Introduction 6				
	2.2 Boundary conditions 8				
	2.2.1 Introduction 8				
	2.2.2 Hydraulic conditions 9				
	2.2.3 Morphological conditions 10				
	2.2.4 Geotechnical conditions 10				
	2.3 Risk assessment 10				
	2.3.1 Introduction 10				
	2.3.2 Fault tree analysis 12				
	2.3.3 Safety factor 14				
	2.3.4 Failure probability approach 15				
	2.4 Protective measures 16				
	2.4.1 Introduction 16				
	2.4.2 Bed protection 16				
	2.4.3 Falling apron 18				
	2.4.4 Other counter measures 19				
	2.5 Examples 19				
	2.5.1 Introduction 19				
	2.5.2 Determination of the length of a bed protection with a				
	reliability index 20	21			
	2.5.3 Determination of the failure probability using a FORM approach	. 21			
	2.5.4 Determination scour depth using a safety factor 24				

3	Design tools	26
	3.1 Introduction 26	
	3.2 Mathematical scour and erosion models 26	
	3.2.1 Introduction 26	
	3.2.2 Types of modelling 27	
	3.2.3 Large-scale RANS models 28	
	3.2.3.1 Shallow water modelling 28	
	3.2.3.2 Turbulence modelling 29	
	3.2.4 High-resolution hydrodynamic models 29	
	3.2.4.1 Hydrodynamic model LES 29 3.2.4.2 Application of LES 29	
	3.2.4.2 Application of LES 29 3.2.4.3 Hydrodynamic model DNS 30	
	3.2.5 Particle-based multiphase models 31	
	3.2.5.1 Soil mechanics: MPM 31	
	3.2.5.2 Hydraulic model: SPH 31	
	3.3 General scour 32	
	3.3.1 Introduction 32	
	3.3.2 Overall degradation or aggradation 32	
	3.3.3 Constriction scour 33	
	3.3.4 Bend scour 34	
	3.3.5 Confluence scour 36	
	3.4 Local scour 37	
	3.4.1 Introduction 37	
	3.4.2 Time-dependent scour 39	
	3.4.3 Equilibrium scour 41	
	3.4.4 Conditions of transport 43	
	3.5 Geotechnical aspects 44	
	3.5.1 Introduction 44	
	3.5.2 Liquefaction 46	
	3.5.3 Effects of groundwater flow 47	
	3.5.4 Non-homogeneous subsoils 48	
	3.5.5 Upstream and side slopes 50	
	3.5.6 Failure length 53	
	3.6 Examples 55 3.6.1 Introduction 55	
	3.6.2 Constriction scour 55	
	3.6.3 Critical slope angles and failure lengths 55	
	3.0.3 Critical slope angles and failure lengths 33	
4	Initiation of motion	57
	4.1 Introduction 57	
	4.2 Flow and turbulence characteristics 57	
	4.2.1 Introduction 57	
	4.2.2 Sills 60	
	4.2.3 Bridge piers and abutments 61	
	4.2.4 Indicative values of flow velocity and turbulence 62	

	Non-cohesive sediments 63	
	4.3.1 Introduction 63	
	4.3.2 Shields diagram 65	
	4.3.3 Design approaches 67	
	4.3.4 Critical flow velocity 69	
	4.3.5 Rock 70	
4.4	Cohesive Sediments 71	
	4.4.1 Introduction 71	
	4.4.2 Critical shear stress 72	
	4.4.3 Critical flow velocity 73	
	4.4.4 Empirical shear stress formulas 77	
	4.4.5 Erosion rate 80	
	4.4.6 Peat 81	
4.5	Examples 82	
	4.5.1 Introduction 82	
	4.5.2 Turbulence at bridge piers and groynes 83	
	4.5.2.1 Bridge Piers 83	
	4.5.2.2 Groynes 83 4.5.3 Critical flow velocity of peat 84	
	4.5.4 Critical mean flow velocity and critical bed shear stress in	
	an open channel with sand dunes 85	
	4.5.5 Critical depth-averaged flow velocity according to	
	Mirtskhoulava (1988) 86	
	4.5.6 Comparison critical strength of clay 86	
Ψ.		0.0
Jets		88
5.1	Introduction 88	88
5.1	Introduction 88 P. Flow characteristics 88	88
5.1	Introduction 88 2 Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88	88
5.1	Introduction 88 2 Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88	88
5.1 5.2	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90	88
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduction 88 Production 88 S.2.1 Introduction 88 S.2.2 Flow velocities 88 S.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92	88
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93	88
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Property Flow for the scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93	88
5.1 5.2 5.3	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Property Flow scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Property Flow characteristics 88 S.2.1 Introduction 88 S.2.2 Flow velocities 88 S.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 S.4.1 Introduction 93 S.4.2 Calculation methods 93 S.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 S.5.1 Introduction 97 S.5.2 Calculation methods 97 S.5.3 Discussion 101	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97 5.5.3 Discussion 101 Three-dimensional culverts 101	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97 5.5.3 Discussion 101 Three-dimensional culverts 101 5.6.1 Introduction 101	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97 5.5.3 Discussion 101 Three-dimensional culverts 101 5.6.1 Introduction 101 5.6.2 Calculation methods 102	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97 5.5.3 Discussion 101 Three-dimensional culverts 101 5.6.1 Introduction 101 5.6.2 Calculation methods 102 5.6.3 Discussion 105	88
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Introduction 88 Plow characteristics 88 5.2.1 Introduction 88 5.2.2 Flow velocities 88 5.2.3 Hydraulic jump 90 Time scale of jet scour 92 Plunging jets 93 5.4.1 Introduction 93 5.4.2 Calculation methods 93 5.4.3 Discussion 96 Two-dimensional culverts 97 5.5.1 Introduction 97 5.5.2 Calculation methods 97 5.5.3 Discussion 101 Three-dimensional culverts 101 5.6.1 Introduction 101 5.6.2 Calculation methods 102	88

5

	 5.7.2 Scour due to the return current of a sailing vessel 106 5.7.3 Scour due to propeller and thruster jets 107 5.7.4 Discussion 109 5.8 Scour at broken pipelines 110 5.9 Scour control 110 5.10 Examples 114 5.10.1 Introduction 114 5.10.2 Two-dimensional scour downstream a broad-crested sill 114 5.10.3 Three-dimensional scour downstream a short-crested overflow weir 115 5.10.4 Two-dimensional scour downstream an under flow gate 117 	
6	Sills	120
	6.1 Introduction 120	
	6.2 Flow characteristics 120	
	6.3 Scour depth modelling in the Netherlands 123	
	6.3.1 Introduction 123	
	6.3.2 Scour depth formula 125	
	6.3.3 Characteristic time 127	
	6.3.4 Relative turbulence intensity 129 6.3.5 Scour coefficient 130	
	6.3.6 Non-steady flow 133	
	6.3.7 Upstream supply of sediment 135	
	6.4 Upstream scour slopes 138	
	6.4.1 Introduction 138	
	6.4.2 Hydraulic and morphological stability criterion 1386.4.3 Undermining 139	
	6.5 Additional measures 140	
	6.6 Field experiments 141	
	6.6.1 Introduction 141	
	6.6.2 Hydraulic and geotechnical conditions 141	
	6.6.3 Discussion 142 6.6.3.1 Upstream scour slope 143	
	6.6.3.2 Undermining 143	
	6.6.3.3 Time scale 144	
	6.6.3.4 Equilibrium scour depth 145	
	6.6.3.5 Evaluation brouwers dam experiments 145	
	6.6.4 Experiences Eastern Scheldt 145 6.7 Example 147	
	6.7.1 Introduction 147	
	6.7.2 Critical upstream scour slope downstream a sill 147	
7	Abutments and groynes	152
	7.1 Introduction 152	
	7.2 Geometry characteristics and flow patterns 152 7.2.1 Introduction 152	

7.2.2 Wing-wall abutments 154 7.2.3 Spill-through abutments 154 7.2.4 Vertical-wall abutments 156 7.2.5 Flow pattern 156 7.3 Dutch modelling 159 7.3.1 Introduction 159 7.3.2 Breusers approach 159 7.3.3 Closure procedures 161 7.4 Equilibrium scour depth 163 7.4.1 Introduction 163 7.4.2 Calculation methods 164 7.4.3 Discussion 169 7.5 Combined scour 169 7.5.1 Introduction 169 7.5.2 Combined local scour and constriction or bend scour 170 7.6 Failure mechanism and measures to prevent local scour 170 7.6.1 Introduction 170 7.6.2 Scour slopes 171 7.6.3 Outflanking 172 7.6.4 Riprap protection 173 7.7 Examples 175 7.7.1 Introduction 175 7.7.2 Scour due to lowering of existing abutments 175 7.7.3 Influence of the permeability of an abutment on the scour 177 179 **Bridges** 8.1 Introduction 179 8.2 Characteristic flow pattern 179 8.2.1 Introduction 179 8.2.2 Submerged bridges 181 8.3 Time scale 182 8.4 Equilibrium scour depth 185 8.4.1 Introduction 185 8.4.2 Calculation methods 185

8.4.4 Discussion 190

8.5.5 Group of piers 194

8.6.1 Introduction 196

8.6 Scour slopes 196

8.5 Effects of specific parameters 191 8.5.1 Introduction 191 8.5.2 Pier shape 192

8.5.3 Alignment of the pier to the flow 192 8.5.4 Gradation of bed material 194

9

8.6.2 Single cylindrical pier 196	
8.6.3 Other types of piers 197	
8.6.4 Winnowing 200	
8.7 Measures to prevent local scour 201 8.7.1 Introduction 201	
8.7.2 Riprap protection 201	
8.7.3 Mattress protection 202	
8.7.4 Deflectors 203	
8.8 Example 203	
8.8.1 Introduction 203	
8.8.2 Local scour around bridge piers 203	
8.8.2.1 Slender piers 204	
8.8.2.2 Wide piers 205	
Case studies on prototype scale 21	10
9.1 Introduction 210	
9.2 Camden motorway bypass bridge pier scour assessment (RHDHV) 210	
9.2.1 Introduction 210	
9.2.2 Assessment of scour 211	
9.2.3 Scour assessment results 213	
9.2.4 Constriction scour 213	
9.2.5 Abutment scour 215	
9.2.6 Pier scour 215	
9.2.7 Numerical Model Verification 216	
9.2.8 Scour mitigation 217 9.2.9 Conclusions 219	
9.3 Project Waterdunen (Svasek) 220	
9.3.1 Introduction 220	
9.3.2 Bed protection 220	
9.3.3 Hydraulic loads 222	
9.3.4 Scour depth 222	
9.3.5 Additional remarks 225	
9.3.5.1 Gate control 225	
9.3.5.2 Safety factors 226	
9.3.5.3 Sensitivity calculations 226 9.3.5.4 Turbulence 226	
9.4 Full-scale erosion test propeller jet (Deme) 226	
9.4.1 Introduction 226	
9.4.2 Objective of the full-scale erosion tests and estimated flow field 226	
9.4.3 Scour prediction methods 227	
9.4.4 Results 229	
9.5 Scour due to ship thrusters in the Rotterdam port area (Port of	
Rotterdam) 230	
9.5.1 Introduction 230	
9.5.2 Full-scale test with inland vessels at the Parkkade 232	
9.5.2.1 Scope 232	

9.5.2.3 Observed versus predicted scour for thrusters with PIANC formulas 235 9.5.2.4 Conclusions 237 9.5.3 Scour due to operational use of Maasvlakte quay wall for large seagoing container vessels 238 9.5.3.1 Observed scour 238 9.5.3.2 Computed scour 240 9.5.3.3 Conclusions 240 9.6 Crossing of high voltage power line (Witteveen & Bos) 241 9.6.1 Introduction 241 9.6.2 Scour for a single pier 241 9.6.3 Scour for multiple piers 243 9.6.4 Results and discussion 245 9.7 Scour development in front of culvert (van Oord) 245 9.7.1 Introduction 245 9.7.2 Initial bottom protection and scouring 247 9.7.3 New design bottom protection 249 9.7.4 Result redesign 251 9.8 Bed protection at railway bridge in a bypass of the river Waal (Deltares) 251 9.8.1 Introduction 251 9.8.2 Flow condition 252 9.8.3 Scouring 253 9.8.4 Designed bed protection 253 9.8.5 Final remarks 253 9.9 Pressure scour around bridge piers (Arcadis) 254 9.9.1 Introduction 254 9.9.2 Flow conditions 255 9.9.3 Scour computation 257 9.9.4 Results 258 9.10 Bed protection at the weir at Grave in the river Meuse (Rijkswaterstaat) 260 9.10.1 Introduction 260 9.10.2 Scope 260 9.10.3 Flow condition 262 9.10.4 Scour and bed protection 263 9.10.5 Condition after the flood 264 9.10.6 Hindcast 265

9.5.2.2 Observed scour depth versus predictions with Breusers formulas 233

References 267



Foreword

This manual is an update of the internationally well-known Scour Manual, published in 1997, in which much of the knowledge and experience at that time on scour was captured. Knowledge that was gathered after the February 1953 disaster where many dikes in the south-western provinces of the Netherlands failed during a severe storm surge. As a consequence of this disaster, several hydraulic and soil mechanical issues had to be dealt with in order to be able to draw up appropriate solutions for the breaches in the flood defenses. In part the solutions consisted of repairing the dikes and in part of constructing closure dams in the estuaries. To study the effects of closures, small-scale experiments were carried out to obtain general information about the critical velocity for the stability of stones and concrete blocks, the overlapping of mattresses, the water movement, and the scouring effects downstream of revetments.

Based on a systematic investigation of the time scale for two- and three-dimensional scour in loose sediments, relations were derived for predicting the maximum scour depth as a function of time. In the 1990s, these scour relations were slightly modified and used for the design of the storm surge barrier in the Nieuwe Waterweg near Rotterdam and for the prediction of the scour process downstream of the barrier in the Eastern Scheldt.

Following the publication of the Scour Manual in 1997, more experience is acquired with existing formulas and the knowledge in the field has widened, especially related to turbulence. The original Scour Manual is partly rewritten to capture this information. Moreover, attention is paid to mathematical scour and erosion models, risk assessment and erosion of cohesive sediments. Some applications of this knowledge in projects are described in case studies at the end of the manual. In this update, it was chosen not to address coastal and offshore structures. For wave-induced scour reference is made to the 1997 version of this manual or to other international manuals.

This update of the Scour Manual concerns the scour processes and phenomena taking place near hydraulic structures due to currents. The manual is intended primarily for hydraulic engineers in the field; however, it may also have appeal to researchers in hydraulic engineering. The scour process has still not yet been explained in a generally accepted manner, and therefore it would be only appropriate to keep discussing their mechanism and formulations for the simpler cases.

The updated Scour Manual was prepared by the original authors and supervised by a CUR committee. The update is dedicated to Mr. G. Vergeer, a strong promotor of this update, who passed away in 2018.

I would like to thank all those who contributed their time and knowledge to the update of the Scour Manual and especially the companies that contributed to the cases. I wish it will offer the practicing engineer again a guideline in the field.

Pieter van Berkum Head of Hydraulic Engineering section, Rijkswaterstaat

Acknowledgements

For this updated version of the scour manual, we would like to thank all members of the committee and the contributors for their support and especially Erik Mosselman for reviewing the document and Maarten van der Wal and Frans Buschman for leading the project at Deltares.

Also, the committee members and the contributors to the first version of the manual are acknowledged. They helped creating the basis for the update.



List of main symbols

```
а
           factor, a = \cot \beta_a + \cot \delta
а
           deck block height of a bridge
                                                                                            m
                                                                                            m<sup>2</sup>
Α
           cross-section
A_a
           cross-section area of abutment
                                                                                            m^2
A_b
           two-dimensional blocking area of abutment
                                                                                            m^2
A_f
           cross-section area upstream of abutment
                                                                                            m^2
\mathbf{A}_{r}
           cross-section area of the river
В
           length of structure (perpendicular to flow direction), pier width
                                                                                            m
Ь
           factor, b = \cot \gamma_2 - \cot \gamma_1 (-)
b_u
           diameter of pipe or thickness of jet at x = 0 (m)
                                                                                            m
В
           width of flow
                                                                                            m
В
           load factor in propeller scour
Вс
           stability factor in propeller scour equation
B_{I}
           width of the river upstream of the constriction
                                                                                            m
B_2
           width of the river at the constriction
                                                                                            m
                                                                                            N/m^3
c
           parameter c = 10^3 \,\mathrm{N/m^3}
                                                                                            N/m^2
c
           cohesion
c_a
           shape factor of scour hole, c_a = 22
c_{\mathsf{f}}
           resistance coefficient, c_f = 0.010 (range 0.005-0.020)
           coefficient of Schoklitsch, c_{\rm s}=4.75\,{\rm m}^{0.16}{\rm s}^{0.57}
cs
           velocity distribution coefficient, c_v = 1.0
c_v
           coefficient, c_0 = 0.29 (sand) to 1.24 (gravel)
c_{o}
C<sub>2</sub>H
           dimensionless parameter for 2D-H jets
           dimensionless parameter for 2D-V jets
c_{2V}
с<sub>3Н</sub>
С
           dimensionless parameter for 3D-H jets
                                                                                            m^{1/2}/s
           Chézy coefficient
C_{k}
                                                                                            N/m^2
           fatigue rupture strength of clay, C_f = 0.035C_o \text{ N/m}^2
           constant that ranges from 0.030 (for scour slopes less steep than
              IV:3H) to 0.045 (for backward facing step)
C_0
                                                                                            N/m^2
           cohesion in the Mirtskhoulava formula
d
           particle diameter
                                                                                            m
d_a
           size of detaching aggregates, d_a = 0.004 \,\mathrm{m}
                                                                                            m
d<sub>0</sub>
           characteristic length, d_0 = 1/2 h_d, h_d is the drop height
                                                                                            m
d_{50}
           median particle diameter for which 50% of the mixture is smaller
d<sub>50f</sub>
           median filter size
                                                                                            m
d90
           particle diameter for which 90% of the mixture is smaller
D
           height of sill, step height
D
           thickness of cohesive layer
D
           jet or pipe diameter
D_F
           filter thickness
                                                                                            m
Dp
           drop height of grade-control structure
                                                                                            m
\mathbf{D}_{r}
           relative soil density
D90*
           dimensionless grain diameter
```

```
sedimentological diameter, d(\Delta g/v^2)^{1/3}
D*
                                  actual void ratio, e = V_v/V_s
е
e_{max}
                                  maximum void ratio
                                 minimum void ratio
e_{min}
                                 friction coefficient, g/C^2
fc
                                 roughness function, C/C_0, C_0 = 40 \,\mathrm{m}^{1/2}/\mathrm{s}
fc
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         N/m^2
fu
                                 undrained shear strength
                                 fraction of fines of soil smaller than 0.075 mm
F_{down}
                                  downward force
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Ν
\mathbf{F}_{lift}
                                 lift force
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          Ν
Fr
                                 Froude number related to water depth, Fr = U_0/(gh)^{1/2}
                                 Froude number related to pressure Fr_s = \frac{V_{uc}}{\sqrt{g(h_u - h_b)}}
Fr<sub>s</sub>
                                  Froude number just upstream of the hydraulic jump
Fr_1
                                 Froude number in the jet, Fr_l = U_l/(gb_u)^{1/2}
Froude number, Fr_l = U_l/(gy_l)^{0.5}
Fr_1
Fr_1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         m/s^2
                                 acceleration due to gravity, g = 9.78-9.83 \text{ m/s}^2
 g
G_B
                                  width of scour hole at broken pipeline
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
G_L
                                  length of scour hole at broken pipeline
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h
                                 flow depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_b
                                  water depth under a bridge
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_{\rm e}
                                 critical water depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
hc
                                  equilibrium water depth after functioning of a falling apron
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
hi
                                 stages in the water depth during functioning of a falling apron
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
hp
                                 distance between propeller axis and bed
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
ht
                                  tailwater depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_u
                                  upstream water depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_0
                                 initial or average flow depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_0(0)
                                  tide-averaged flow depth
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
h_1
                                  average depth in contracted area
h<sub>2</sub>
                                  average depth in upstream section
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
 Н
                                  height between head and tailwater levels
                                  difference in height between upstream and downstream water levels
Н
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m<sup>2</sup>
ı
                                  volume of scour hole per unit width
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m^2/s^2
                                 turbulent kinetic energy
k
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m^2/s^2
k_m
                                 mean turbulent kinetic energy where scour depth is at maximum % \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right
k_{s}
                                  Nikuradse bed roughness (rough: k_s = 3d_{90}, smooth: k_s = 2d_{50})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m^2/s^2
k_{max}
                                 maximum turbulent kinetic energy in mixing layer
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m^{2.3}/s^{3.3}
                                 non-dimensionless constant, K = 330 \,\mathrm{m}^{2.3}/\mathrm{s}^{3.3}
Κ
 Κ
                                  factor for various influences, such as pier shape and flow angle
K_b
                                  coefficient
                                 coefficient, K/(g^{1.43}\mu^{0.43}) (K in m^{2.3}/s^{3.3})
 Κı
ı
                                  length of structure parallel to the flow direction
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
L
                                  length of bed protection
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
L_{ins}
                                  bed protection length to prevent shear failure
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
L_{min}
                                  minimum bed length
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
\mathsf{L}_{p}
                                  bridge pier length
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
                                  length hydraulic jump
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
                                  length of scour hole
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
                                 failure length
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
Ls
                                  ship length
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          m
LĹ
                                 liquid limit
m
                                 constriction ratio, m = I - B_2/B_1
n
                                  porosity, default 0.4
n
                                 scale ratio
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         s/m<sup>1/3</sup>
                                 Manning's coefficient
n
Ν
                                 number of ship passages
p(5')
                                 probability density function
```

```
P(\xi')
           cumulative density function
P<sub>f</sub>
PI
          failure probability
           plasticity index
                                                                                       m^2/s
           discharge per unit width
q
                                                                                       m^2/s
           discharge in a 2D-H jet
q
                                                                                       m^2/s
           (reduced) sediment transport per unit width (including porosity)
9s
Q
Q
Q
Q
Q
Q
Q
Q
                                                                                       m^3/s
                                                                                       m^3/s
           discharge in a 3D-H jet
                                                                                       m^3/s
           discharge through main river (without floodplain), see Fig. 3.1
                                                                                       m^3/s
           discharge floodplain
                                                                                       m^3/s
           discharge in the upstream channel
                                                                                       m^3/s
           discharge in the contracted section
           local turbulence intensity
r
           discrepancy ratio
           depth-averaged relative turbulence intensity, \sigma_u/U
r_0
           depth averaged relative turbulence intensity when scour depth is
r_{0,m}
R
           strength component
                                                                                       Var.
R
           hydraulic radius
                                                                                       m
R
           radius of curvature of the centreline
                                                                                       m
R
           erosion parameter
                                                                                       kg/
                                                                                          (m \cdot s^3)
R
           erosion rate
                                                                                       m/s
Re
           Reynolds number, Re = Uh/v
Re*
           Reynolds stress number related to particle diameter, Re = U*D/v
s
           specific density of bottom material
                                                                                       m^2/s
Sb
           bed load
                                                                                       m^2/s
           suspended load
s
S
                                                                                       Var.
          load components
S_1
           slope energy grade line
t
tc
           time referring to conditions where q_s = 0
tp
           time referring to live bed conditions
tı
           characteristic time at which the maximum scour depth equals h_0
tι
           characteristic time at which y_m = \lambda(s)
           characteristic time at which y_m = h_0(0)
t_{l,u}
           time at which \alpha U_0 first exceeds U_c during flood tide
                                                                                       s
tι
           time at which \alpha U_0 drops below U_c during ebb tide
t<sub>2</sub>
T
           half tidal period where \alpha U_0 > U_c (s), T = t_2 - t_1
                                                                                       s
T_{0,s}
           time scale for change of the cross-section profile
u
           local longitudinal flow velocity
                                                                                       m/s
u
           mean velocity in the x-direction
                                                                                       m/s
           maximum velocity of u at any x-section
                                                                                       m/s
u_{m}
           bed shear velocity
u*
                                                                                       m/s
u∗,c
           critical bed shear velocity
                                                                                       m/s
U
           time-averaged velocity
                                                                                       m/s
U_b
           water velocity just above the bed due to the return current
                                                                                       m/s
Uc
           critical averaged flow velocity for uniform flow; U_c can be depth-
                                                                                       m/s
             averaged or the near-bed critical velocity
U_c
           critical depth-averaged flow velocity
                                                                                       m/s
U_d
           characteristic tidal mean flow velocity
                                                                                       m/s
U<sub>g</sub>
U<sub>h</sub>
           mean gap velocity
                                                                                       m/s
           horizontal component jet velocity
                                                                                       m/s
           depth-averaged velocity where scour depth is at maximum
U_m
                                                                                       m/s
U_{m,t}
           maximum velocity during a tide
                                                                                       m/s
U_{max}
           maximum velocity
                                                                                       m/s
           ship-induced return current below the ship's keel
                                                                                       m/s
U_v
           vertical component jet velocity
                                                                                       m/s
           depth-width-averaged flow velocity, Q/A
U_0
                                                                                       m/s
```

```
Uο
            depth-averaged flow velocity upstream of scour hole
                                                                                            m/s
U_{0,c}
            critical flow velocity
                                                                                            m/s
U_1
           average flow velocity in the jet
                                                                                            m/s
U_1
                                                                                            m/s
           jet velocity entering tailwater, U_1 = \sqrt{2gH}
U_1
           efflux velocity at x = 0 (m/s)
                                                                                            m/s
           average flow velocity downstream of the scour hole
                                                                                            m/s
           ship speed (m/s)
                                                                                            m/s
           volume of solids
                                                                                            m3
V_{uc}
           critical velocity pressure scour
                                                                                            m/s
V_{ue}
           effective velocity pressure scour
                                                                                            m/s
           volume of voids
                                                                                            m³
                                                                                            m^3/m
V(t)
           volume of scour hole per unit width
           reduced volume of scour hole per unit width
                                                                                            m^3/m
Vr(t)
W
            water content
Ws
           fall velocity
                                                                                            m/s
W_{\rm L}
           bottom width in the of the upstream main channel
W_2
           bottom width in the contracted section
                                                                                            m
X
           longitudinal distance
                                                                                            m
у
           vertical distance
           critical scour depth
Уc
                                                                                            m
           depth after a sliding
Уd
           maximum scour depth
y<sub>m</sub>
                                                                                            m
y_m(t)
           maximum scour depth as a function of time
           equilibrium scour depth
y<sub>m,e</sub>
          maximum equilibrium scour depth
           equilibrium scour depth exceeded by 50% of the scour
           bed elevation changes due to long-term deposition or bed erosion
Yad
           bend scour
Уbе
           bed form trough depth
ybf
           confluence scour
ycf
           constriction scour
y<sub>cs</sub>
           local scour
y<sub>s</sub>
           actual scour depth
Ys, actual
           equilibrium scour depth (static scouring)
y<sub>ss</sub>
           total scour depth
y<sub>tot</sub>
           thickness of the jet at the vena contracta
                                                                                            m
Уı
           vertical distance from the axis of the jet at any section
Z
                                                                                            m
Z
           reliability parameter
                                                                                            Var.
Z
           scour number
           flow and turbulence coefficient (or angle)
\alpha
           turbulence coefficient, \alpha_F = (1 + 3r_0)c_v
\alpha_{F}
\alpha_{\mathsf{g}}
           gap coefficient, \alpha_g = 2.4
           abutment coefficient, \alpha_{\rm ga}= 1.0 or 1.4
\alpha_{ga}
\alpha_{RAI}
           constant, \alpha_{RAI} = 0.3
\alpha_1
            turbulence coefficient, \alpha_1 = 1.5 + 5r_0
\alpha_{\mathsf{u}}
            coefficient, to be determined as \alpha_u = \alpha - U_c/U_0
            Veronese coefficient, \alpha_v = 1.9
a_{v}
           angle upstream wing wall of abutment
                                                                                            0
\alpha_1
                                                                                            0
           angle downstream wing wall of abutment
\alpha_2
β
                                                                                            0
            upstream scour slope (or angle)
β
           reliability index
β
           coefficient; \beta = 0.67-0.8
\beta_a
           average slope angle before instability
           coefficient (or angle)
γ
                                                                                            kN/m<sup>3</sup>
           weight per unit volume, \gamma = 10 \text{ kN/m}^3
γ
γ
           coefficient, \gamma = 0.4-0.8
           coefficient, \gamma = 0.22-0.23
γ
           safety factor
γs
                                                                                            kN/m<sup>3</sup>
           wet weight per unit volume
\gamma_{wet}
```

```
sliding erosion slope angle after instability
\gamma_1
            sliding deposit slope angle after instability
γ2
            slope angle downstream of the point of reattachment
δ
δ
            slope angle downstream of the deepest point of the scour hole
Δ
            relative density, \rho_s/\rho - 1
\Delta_t
            time step
                                                                                                 s
            \eta = z/x
η
            coefficient, \eta = 0.75 - 0.85
η
            coefficient
ηь
            coefficient
\eta_s
                                                                                                 ^{\circ}C
\dot{\theta}
            temperature
\theta
            angle between two upstream branches of a confluence
\theta
            jet angle near surface
            constant of von Kármán, \kappa = 0.4
κ
λ
            characteristic length scale
                                                                                                 m
            shape factor or roughness factor
μ
                                                                                                 Var.
            average value
μ
            average value of the strength parameter R
                                                                                                 Var.
\mu_R
            average value of the load parameter S
                                                                                                 Var.
\mu_{S}
            average value of the reliability parameter
                                                                                                 Var.
\mu_{Z}
                                                                                                 m^2/s
v
            kinematic viscosity
            ratio measured and calculated scour depth
ξ
                                                                                                 kg/m<sup>3</sup>
            fluid density
ρ
                                                                                                 kg/m^3
            density bed material
\rho_b
                                                                                                 kg/m^3
            material density
\rho_{s}
            relative standard deviation
\sigma
            sediment gradation, \sigma_g=d84/d50 standard deviation of the instantaneous longitudinal velocity
\sigma_{\mathsf{g}}
                                                                                                 m/s
\sigma_{\mathsf{u}}
               averaged over the depth
            standard deviation of instantaneous velocity in transverse direction
                                                                                                 m/s
\sigma_{\mathsf{v}}
            standard deviation of instantaneous velocity in vertical direction
                                                                                                 m/s
\sigma_{w}
            standard deviation of the reliability parameter Z
                                                                                                 Var.
\sigma_{Z}
                                                                                                 kg/m.s<sup>2</sup>
            critical bed shear stress
\tau_c
                                                                                                 kg/m.s<sup>2</sup>
            bed shear stress
\tau_0
            dimensionless transport rate
                                                                                                 0
φ
            angle of repose, \phi = 40^{\circ}
\varphi'
            angle of internal friction
            turbulence parameter
χe
            mobility or Shields parameter
Ψ
            critical Shields parameter
\psi_c
            angle of attack
ω
(I)
            turbulence coefficient, \omega = 1 + 3r_0
            fall velocity
ω
                                                                                                 m/s
            current-related sediment mobility, = \psi/\psi_c
Ω
```

List of main definitions

- General scour: degradation of the main channel bed due to an imbalance of the sediment transport entering and leaving a control volume. This occurs, for example, when the sediment transport capacity increases due to accelerating flow.
- Bend scour: local scour in the outer bend of a river due to helical flow; maximum scour depth usually at the downstream side of the bend.
- Constriction scour: local scour due to the transition into a narrower or shallower section of the river.
- Confluence scour: scour due to the confluence of flows from two upstream river branches.
- Non-uniform flow: flow where flow velocity and other hydrodynamic phenomena differ spatially.
- Equilibrium scour depth: constant scour depth reached after some time, when the conditions remain constant.
- Plunging jets: water jets that fall from a certain height onto a free water surface.
- Submerged jets: water jets with an outflow opening under water.
- Grade control structure: structure to control the water level where water flows over or through the structure.
- Shear failure: soil mechanical instability of non-cohesive soil.
- Flow slide: soil mechanical instability of loosely packed sand.
- Two-dimensional scour: scour as a result of a long (normal to the flow direction) sill or infinitely long outflow opening. The scour is equal over the full length or width. A typical example is an underwater sill in a closure of an estuary.
- Three-dimensional scour: scour downstream of a structure with a limited width (normal to the flow direction). At the sides of the outflow opening, eddies occur causing extra scour. Typical examples: spurs, outflow of culverts.
- Clear water scour: scour with transport of bed material only due to the presence of
 a structure. Without the structure, no bed load or suspended sediment transport
 would occur. This type of scour occurs in laboratory conditions and in areas with
 scour-resistant beds. It results in deeper scour holes.
- Live-bed scour: scour with supply of bed material from upstream.
- Bed load transport: sediment transport by rolling, sliding and saltating (jumping up into the flow, being transported a short distance then settling) of sediment particles mainly just above the river bed.

- Suspended load transport: transport of sediment that is suspended in the water column by turbulence. The suspended load usually consists of smaller sediment particles than bed load.
- Abutment: horizontal construction into the flow as part of an approach embankment for a bridge.
- Groyne or spur: horizontal constriction of a flow to train a river to provide sufficient depth for navigation and to prevent erosion of the river bank.



Introduction

I.I General

A hydraulic structure is generally intended to provide a practical measure to solve an identified problem. After problem identification, subsequent stages are determined by a series of decisions and actions culminating in the creation of a structure or structures to resolve the problem. Aspects that may affect the eventual outcome of the design process have to be assessed. In addition to hydraulic, geotechnical and engineering characteristics, aspects such as social conditions, economics, environmental impact and safety requirements also influence the design process.

Within the scope of the Dutch Delta works, the Dutch Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management and Delft Hydraulics (now merged into Deltares) conducted systematic research with respect to the prediction of the formation of scour holes. After the catastrophic flood disaster in 1953, the Delta Plan was formulated to protect the Rhine-Meuse-Scheldt delta against future disasters. Dams with large-scale sluices were planned in some estuaries. The expected severe scour necessitated acquiring a better understanding of the scour process.

To obtain detailed information about the physical processes playing a role in scour development, Delft Hydraulics (Deltares) carried out many experiments in which several parameters of the flow and the scoured material varied. From the results of experiments in flumes, with obvious difficulties of scale effects and limitations in instrumentation, some semi-empirical relations were obtained that describe the erosion process as a function of time and position (Breusers, 1966, 1967; van der Meulen & Vinjé, 1975). In addition, design criteria were deduced for the length of bed protection. These were based on hundreds of shear failures and flow slides that occurred along the coastline in the south-western part of the Netherlands.

Understanding of the physical processes and mathematical modelling of the water and sediment movement in rivers, estuaries and coastal waters have made much progress in recent years. This has led to a number of more or less ready-to-use mathematical model systems, but it has also raised many new research questions. In the early 1990s, a morphological model for the generation of scour holes behind hydraulic structures was developed. This morphological model was based on the 2D Navier-Stokes and convection-diffusion equations and used for the calibration and verification of semi-empirical relations that predict the scour process. Nowadays sophisticated CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamic) models are available for scour computations.

This manual highlights the so-called Breusers method which describes the maximum scour depth as a function of time, including the practical equilibrium value near hydraulic structures. Scour due to three-dimensional flow can easily be predicted when this method is applied in combination with computational results of depth-averaged hydrodynamic models or with measurements obtained from scale models. The accuracy of the scour computation depends mainly on the accuracy of the flow velocities and the turbulence intensities just above the protected bed. According to Breusers (1966), the development of the scour process depends entirely on the average flow velocity and depth-averaged relative turbulence intensity at the transition from the fixed to the erodible bed. Applying this concept restricts the scour prediction to a single computation. No information is needed concerning the near-bed velocities and bed turbulence in the scour hole.

This manual is an update of the original book published in 1997. However, it deals only with scour due to currents. Scour due to waves is not addressed in this update. This manual addresses various new aspects, such as risk assessment, scour of rock and new theory-based formulas for the prediction of scour at hydraulic structures but also an update of the available mathematical scour and erosion models. Last but not least, a fully renewed chapter has been added with recent experiences of consultants and contractors with scour design.

1.2 Scope of this manual

The purpose of this scour manual is to provide the civil engineer with useful practical methods to calculate the dimensions of scour holes in the prefeasibility and preliminary stages of a project, and to furnish an introduction to the most relevant literature. The manual contains guidelines which can be used to solve problems related to scour in engineering practice and also reflects the main results of all research projects in the Netherlands in recent decades. A complete review of all the available references on scour is beyond the scope of this manual. The most relevant manuals are Breusers and Raudkivi (1991), Melville and Coleman (2000) and May et al. (2002). Furthermore, the International Conference on Scour and Erosion provides relevant information and is important for the most recent developments.

The scour depth as a function of time can be predicted by the so-called Breusers equilibrium method. Basically, this method can be applied to all situations where local scour is expected. However, the available knowledge about scour is not sufficient for applying the method to scour at each type of structure. Structure-specific scour prediction rules are presented then. The treatment of local scour is classified according to different types of structures. Each type of structure is necessarily schematised to a simple, basic layout. The main parameters of a structure and the main parts of the flow pattern near a structure are described briefly insofar as they are relevant to the description of scour phenomena. Detailed and theoretical descriptions of the flow phenomena are not included because at this stage, the consequences of such descriptions are minimal in relation to engineering practice. Nonetheless, Hoffmans (2012) developed new formulas for equilibrium scour. Evaluating a balance of forces for a control volume, he was able to develop scour equations for different types of flow fields and structures, i.e. jets, abutments and bridge piers.

As many scour problems are still not fully understood, attention is paid to the validity ranges and limitations of the formulas, as well as to the accuracy of calculations of the maximum scour depth during the lifetime, the upstream scour slope and the failure length. Due to shear failures or flow slides, the scour process can progressively damage the bed protection. This will lead to the failure of hydraulic structures.

The presented Breusers equilibrium method can be applied directly in engineering practice for nearly all types of structures. Accurate local flow velocities and turbulence intensities resulting from three-dimensional flow models can act as inputs for the Breusers equilibrium method, which can be considered as a continuation and an expansion of the work of Breusers (1966). In other words, one may speak of a revitalisation of the Breusers formula, with which a lot of experience has been gained, mainly in the Netherlands but also abroad.

1.3 Reading guide

The manual is divided into seven parts. The first three parts give a general introduction to the subject. The next four parts deal with calculation methods for predicting scour near hydraulic structures and, in the final part, some cases of scour at prototype scale are described. A brief summary of each chapter follows.

Chapter 2 - Design process

It is crucial to design hydraulic structures that are reliable and safe during their life cycle. To ensure safe long-term functioning of hydraulic structures, it is necessary to consider boundary conditions, risk assessment and measures to prevent scour. After having addressed the boundary conditions, we discuss the risk assessment and the fault tree analysis. Two methods are treated: one based on safety factors and the other on failure probability. When applying these techniques, one should keep in mind what the goal is of the design: a pre-feasibility study or a final design. Examples show how to deal with these methods. Furthermore, protective measures are mentioned.

Chapter 3 - Design tools

The total scour which may occur at the site of a structure can be estimated with mathematical scour and erosion models. An overview is given of available tools. In principle, scour may be considered as a combination of general scour and local scour resulting from different processes. In addition, time phases can be distinguished in the scour process. We present these phenomena for currents.

A more or less continuous scouring process may suddenly be disturbed by the occurrence of geotechnical instabilities along the upstream scour slope. Shear failures and flow slides influence the stability of hydraulic structures. In the extreme case, these instabilities involve large masses of sediment and cause a major change of the shape of the upstream side of the scour hole in a relatively short period of time. Some design criteria based on storage models are presented.

Chapter 4 - Initiation of motion

Scour results from transport of bed materials. The non-uniform flow is responsible for this, which is usually expressed by either a turbulence coefficient or a dominating flow velocity, or by both. Turbulence is the most important phenomenon determining erosion. Relations for the turbulence intensity and the critical flow velocity are presented for various situations. The design graphs of Shields are presented for non-cohesive bed materials, such as sand and rock. For cohesive soils such as clay and peat, the method of Mirtskhoulava and also empirical relationships based on the plasticity index are given. In addition, erosion rate formulas are also presented.

Chapter 5 - Jets

We discuss scour due to several jet forms, such as plunging jets, submerged jets, horizontal and vertical jets, and two- and three-dimensional jets. In addition, we treat the complex flow pattern of jets. We also address scour by ship-induced currents, scour due to propellers and scour due to jets in the case of broken pipelines. Semi-empirical and theory-based relations for the scour process behind a short-crested sill are presented. The semi-empirical relations are often used for grade-control structures, where the flow above the structure is supercritical, and for the time-dependent development of the maximum scour depth downstream of a hydraulic jump. The structure of the semi-empirical relations shows a good similarity with the Breusers approach. The new relations have a theoretical base as they have been derived using the balance of forces. However, both semi-empirical and theory-based relations must be clearly understood prior to any attempt to use them for design purposes.

Chapter 6 - Sills

We summarise calculation methods for sills. A distinction is made between sills with a broad or a sharp crest and between sills with and without bed protection. Usually, the flow above a sill is subcritical, but depending on the downstream water level, the flow may become supercritical. We discuss the time-dependent and equilibrium behaviour of scour holes in sandy beds in relation to closure works (broad-crested sills) in tidal channels. Special attention is paid to the effects of turbulence and flow pattern on the scour process.

We describe an approximate method (reduction method) for calculation of the maximum scour depth. This takes the influence of upstream sediment supply into account. In addition, we present a method to adjust this calculation method for unsteady flow, especially tidal flow. These methods were successfully applied during the design of the Eastern Scheldt Storm Surge Barrier. The upstream scour slope determines the stability of the upstream part of the scour hole and the adjacent bed protection. A relation for the upstream scour slope, based on a probabilistic model for bed load transport, is presented. Relations derived from systematic scour investigations are verified by two field experiments, among which the scour at the Eastern Scheldt Storm Surge Barrier.

Chapter 7 - Abutments and groynes

Relations are presented for predicting local scour at the head of abutments, for which several names are used (spurs, groynes, guide or river bunds) in the literature. We also present recently developed formulas based on a balance of forces. We briefly discuss the flow characteristics around blunt and streamlined abutments. Attention is also paid to the time scale of the scour process and to combined scour (e.g. local scour and bend scour or constriction scour). Since the literature contains many scour relations, a number of generally acceptable predictors have been selected for this manual. Finally, attention is paid to failure mechanisms and measures to mitigate scour near abutments.

Chapter 8 - Bridge piers

After discussing the flow characteristics at the pier and in case of a submerged bridge, relations for estimating scour around bridge piers are summarised. These relations are mostly empirical, but we also present a theoretical relation based on a balance of forces. Correction factors and design graphs for the equilibrium scour depth are discussed. Attention is paid both to the equilibrium scour depth and to the time scale of the scour process. Methods are given to predict scour at bridge piers with a footing or pile cap and for pressure scour. Indications are provided for determining the area to protect against scour.

Chapter 9 - Realised case studies on prototype scale

Nine realised case studies on the prototype scale, based on feasibility studies or design studies, are evaluated in order to determine the practical use of the scour relations in this manual. These cases are as follows:

- Four cases about bridge pier scour: Camden motorway bypass, crossing of a high-voltage power line, pier scour in bypass channel, and pressure scour;
- Two cases about culvert scour: Waterdunen project, and scour development in front of a culvert:
- Two cases about jet scour: propeller- and thruster-induced jet scour;
- One case about sill scour: weir at Grave.

References

Adnan, Z., & Wijeyesekera, D.C., 2007, Geotechnical challenges with Malaysian peat, Proceedings of the Advances Computing and Technology 2007. Conference, 23 January 2007, ed. Stephen et al., 252261. London.W

Adnan, Z., Wijeyesekera, D.C., & Masirin, M.I.M., 2007, Comparative study of Malaysian Peat and British Peat pertaining to geotechnical properties, Proceedings of Sri Lankan Geotechnical Societys First International Conference on Soil and Rock Engineering, 511 August 2007, ed. H.S.W. Pinnaduwa, Colombo.

Ahmad, M., 1953, Experiments on design and behaviour of spur dikes, Proceedings of the IAHR Conference, Minnesota, 145159.

Akkerman, G.J., 1976, Stormvloedkering Oosterschelde, Werkgroep 8, Vormgeving en constructie van sluitgaten, WL859, Ontgrondingen rond putten bij gedeeltelijk verdedigde bodem, Report M1402, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.

Altinbilek, H.D., & Basmaci, Y., 1973, Localized scour at the downstream of outlet structures, Proceedings of the 11th Congress on Large Dams, Madrid, 105121.

Annandale, G.W., 1995, Erodibility, Journal of Hydraulic Research, 33(4): 471494.

Annandale, G.W., 2006, Scour Technology, Mechanics and Engineering Practice, McGraw-Hill, New York. Arins, E.E., 1993, Relatie tussen ontgrondingen en steenstabiliteit van de toplaag, Technical University of Delft, Delft.

Ashmore, P., & Parker, G., 1983, Confluence scour in coarse braided streams, Water Resources Research, 19(2): 392402.

ASTM, 1992, Soil and Rock; Dimension Stone, Geosynthetics, Volume 04.08, Philadelphia, PA.

ASTM , 2017, Standard test method for consolidated undrained direct simple shear testing of fine grain soils. Active Standard ASTM D6528-17, ASTM International, USA.

Baldi, G. et al., 1982, Design parameters for sands from CPT, Proceedings 2nd European Symposium on Penetration Testing, Amsterdam.

Berg, M.B., 2001, Ontgrondingskuil van krib bij km 900.330. Universiteit Utrecht and RWS, Report DWW-2001-102.

Bishop, A.W., 1954, The use of slip circle in the stability analysis of slopes, Proceedings of the European Conference on Stability of Earth Slopes, I S.112, Stockholm.

Blaisdell, F.W., & Anderson, C.L., 1989, Scour at cantilevered outlets: Plunge pool energy dissipator design criteria, ARS-76, US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, D.C.

Blazejewski, R., 1991, Prediction of local scour in cohesionless soil downstream of out-let structures, Agricultural University of Poznan, Faculty of Reclamation and Environmental Engineering, Poznan, Poland.

Blokland, T., 2013, Field test Parkkade. Scour by propeller jets of inland vessels against quay (In Dutch), Municipality of Rotterdam, Engineering Department, project HH2841, Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

268 Bogardi, J.L., 1974, Sediment Transport in Alluvial Streams, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest.

Bollaert, E. , & Schleiss, A. , 2003, Scour of rock due to the impact of plunging high velocity jets 1: A state of the art review. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 41(5): 451464.

Bom, S., 2017, Scour holes in heterogeneous subsoil: A numerical study on hydrodynamical processes in the development of the scour holes, M.Sc. Thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft.

Bonasoundas, M., 1973, Strmungsvorgang und Kolkproblem am runden Brckenpfeiler, Versuchsanstalt fr Wasserbau, Bericht 28, Technischen Universitt Mnchen, Oskar v. Miller Institut, Germany.

Bormann, N.E., & Julien, P.Y., 1991, Scour downstream of grade-control structures, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 117(5): 579594.

Brahms, A., 1767, Anfangsgrnde der Deich und Wasserbaukunst, Aurich.

Breusers, H.N.C., 1966, Conformity and time scale in two-dimensional local scour, Proceedings of the Symposium on Model and Prototype Conformity, 18, Hydraulic Research Laboratory, Poona (also Delft Hydraulics, Delft, Publication 40).

Breusers, H.N.C., 1967, Time scale of two-dimensional local scour, Proceedings of the 12th IAHR-Congress, Fort Collins, Colorado, 275282.

Breusers, H.N.C., 1971, Local scour near offshore structures, Symposium on Offshore Hydrodynamics, Wageningen, Netherlands (also Delft Hydraulics, Delft, Publication 105).

Breusers, H.N.C., Nicolet, G., & Shen, H.W., 1977, Local scour around cylindrical piers, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 15(3): 211252.

Breusers, H.N.C., & Raudkivi, A.J., 1991, Scouring, Balkema, Rotterdam.

Briaud, J.-L., 2008, Case histories in soil and rock erosion, Fourth International Conference on Scour and Erosion, Tokyo.

Briaud, J.-L., Chen, H.-C., Edge, B., Park, S., & Shah, A., 2001, Guidelines for Bridges over Degrading and Migrating Streams. Part 1: Synthesis of Existing (TX-01/2105-2), Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.

Briaud, J.-L., 2019, personal communication.

Buchko, M.F., 1986, Investigation of local scour in cohesionless sediments by using a tunnel model, Report Q239, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.

Buchko, M.F., Kolkman, P.A., & Pilarczyk, K.W., 1987, Investigation of local scour in cohesionless sediments using a tunnel-model, IAHR-Congress, Lausanne; Topics in Hydraulic Modelling, 233239.

- Carstens, T., 1976, Seabed scour by currents near platforms, 3rd Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions, University of Alaska, 9911006.
- Chabert, J. , & Engeldinger, P. , 1956, tude des affouillements autour des piles de ponts, Laboratoire National dHydraulique, Chatou.
- CIRIA, 2002, Manual on Scour at Bridges and other Hydraulic Structures (1st edition). Report 551, London.
- CIRIA, 2007, Use of Vegetation in Civil Engineering, Report C708, CIRIA, London.
- CIRIA , 2015, Manual on Scour at Bridges and Other Hydraulic Structures (2nd edition). Report C742, London.
- CIRIA/CUR/CETMEF, 2007, The Rock Manual The Use of Rock in Hydraulic Engineering (2nd edition). Report CIRIA C683, London.
- Clarke, F.R.W., 1962, The action of submerged jets on moveable material, A Thesis Presented for the Diploma of Imperial College, Department of Civil Engineering, Imperial College, London.
- Compte-Bellot, G., 1963, Coefficients de dessymtrie et daplatissement, spectres et correlations en turbulence de conduite, Journal de Mcanique, 11(2): 105128.
- CUR 113, 2008, Oeverstabiliteit bij zandwinputten, CUR aanbeveling 113, Gouda, The Netherlands. 269 CUR 161, 1993, Filters in de waterbouw, SBRCURnet, Gouda, The Netherlands.
- CUR 162, 1992, Construeren met grond; Grondconstructies op en in weinig draagkrachtige en sterk samendrukbare ondergrond. Ed. P. Lubking, Gouda, The Netherlands.
- CUR, 1996, Erosie van onverdedigde oevers, CUR report 9697, Gouda, The Netherlands.
- CUR 233 , 2010, Interface stability of granular filter structures, Theoretical design methods for currents. SBRCURnet, Gouda, The Netherlands.
- CUR , 2015, Design formula for stable open granular filters loaded by currents, Kennispaper document K680.15, ISBN 978-90-5367-607-3, SBRCURnet, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Dam, G. , van der Wegen, M. , Labeur, R.J. , & Roelvink, D. , 2016, Modeling centuries of estuarine morphodynamics in the Western Scheldt estuary, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, doi:10.1002/2015GL066725
- Dargahi, B., 1987, Flow field and local scouring around a cylinder, Bulletin TITRAVBI-137, Department of Hydraulics Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.
- de Graauw, A.F.F., 1981, Scour (in Dutch), Report M1001 Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- de Graauw, A.F.F., & Pilarczyk, K.W., 1981, Model-prototype conformity of local scour in non-cohesive sediments beneath overflow-dam, 19th IAHR-Congress, New Delhi (also Delft Hydraulics, Delft, Publication 242).
- de Groot, M.B. et al., 1988, The interaction between soil, water and bed or slope protection, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Modelling Soil-Water-Structure Interactions, SOWAS 88, IAHR/ISSMFE/IUTAM, Delft (Ed. P. Kolkman et al).
- de Groot, M., den Adel, H., Stoutjesdijk, T.P., & van Westenbrugge, K.J., 1992, Risk analysis of flow slides, Proceedings 23rd International Conference on Coastal Engineering, Venice.
- de Groot, M.B., Van der Ruyt, M., Mastbergen, D., & Van den Ham, G.A., 2009, Bresvloeiing in zand, Geotechniek, 13(13): 3439.
- de Loor, A., Weiler, O., & Grave, W., 2016, Temporary Situation after Collision (in Dutch), Report, Deltares. de Vries, M., 1975, A morphological time scale for rivers, Proceedings of the IAHR-Congress, Sao Paulo, 1723.
- de Vries, M., 1993, River engineering, Lecture notes f10, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Hydraulic and Geotechnical Engineering Division, Delft University of Technology, Delft.
- de Wit, L., 2006, Smoothed particle hydrodynamics, A study of the possibilities of SPH in hydraulic engineering, MSc thesis, Technical University Delft, http://resolver.tudelft.nl/uuid:eeb28db0-cc52-415c-932e-52ea4f9c9a86
- de Wit, L., 2015, 3D CFD modelling of overflow dredging plumes. PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1972, Systematic Investigation of Two and Three-Dimensional Local Scour (in Dutch), Investigation M648/M863/M847, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1972a, Vergelijking van het stroombeeld en de uitschuring bij verschillende kribvormen, rapport M610, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1972b, Vormgeving kribben voor oeverbescherming bij getijstromen, rapport M1032, Delft. Delft Hydraulics, 1979, Prototype scour hole Brouwersdam (in Dutch), Investigation M1533, Parts I, II, III and IV, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1986a, Waterkrachtcentrale Linne, Investigation Q243, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1986b, Waterkrachtcentrale Maurik, Investigation M2110, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1987, Waterkrachtcentrale Alphen, Investigation Q77, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics , 1988, Ship-Induced Water Motions, Rapport M1115, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 1989, Stormvloedkering Nieuwe Waterweg, Investigation Q965, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- 270 Delft Hydraulics, 1991, Ontgronding bij een horizontale vernauwing, Rapport Q935, Delft.
- Delft Hydraulics, 2006, Falling aprons, Report Q4140, Delft
- Deltares, 2015, Opvullen en afdekken ontgrondingskuilen in de Oude Maas, Rapport 1220038-015 den Haan, E.J., 1997, An overview of the mechanical behaviour of peats and organic soils and some appropriate construction techniques. In Proceedings of Recent Advances in Soft Soil Engineering, ed. Huat

and Bahia, 85108, Sarawak.

Diermanse, F.L.M., de Bruijn, K.M., & Beckers, J., 2015, Importance sampling for efficient modelling of hydraulic loads in the Rhine-Meuse delta. Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 29(3): 637652.

Dietz, J.W., 1969, Kolkbildung in feinen oder leichten Sohlmaterialien bei strmendem Abflu, Mitteilungen, Heft 155, Universitt Fridericiana Karlsruhe.

Dietz, J.W., 1972, Ausbildung von langen Pfeilern bei Schrganstrmung am Beispiel der BAB-Mainbrcke Eddersheim, Mitteilungsblatt der BAW, 31: 7994.

Doehring, F.K., & Abt, S.R., 1994, Drop height influence on outlet scour, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 120(12): 14701476.

Dorst, K., Meijs, D.J., Schroevers, M., & Verheij, H.J., 2016, Prototype measuring of erosion and currents under the keel of a sailing ship in a canal. ICSE 2016, 8th Conference, London.

Eggenberger, W., & Mller, R., 1944, Experimentelle und theoretische Untersuchungen ber das Kolkproblem, Mitteilungen aus der Versuchsanstalt fr Wasserbau 5, Zrich.

Einstein, H.A., 1950, The bed-load function for sediment transportation in open channel flows, Technical Bulletin 1026, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

Ettema, R., 1980, Scour at Bridge Piers, Report 216, University of Auckland, Auckland.

Fahlbusch, F.E., 1994, Scour in rock riverbeds downstream of large dams, The International Journal on Hydropower & Dams, IAHR, 1(4): 3032.

FAP21/22-RRI, 1993, Bank Protection and River Training (AFPM) Pilot Project, FAP21/22 (RRI) Final report planning study (draft), Dhaka (also report Q1326 of Deltares, Guidelines and design manual for standardized bank protection structures)

Farhoudi, J. , & Smith, K.V.H. , 1982, Time scale for scour downstream of hydraulic jump, Proceedings ASCE, 108(HY10): 11471161.

Farhoudi, J., & Smith, K.V.H., 1985, Local scour profiles downstream of hydraulic jump, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 23(4): 343358.

Fellenius, W., 1947, Erdstatische Berechnungen mit Reibung und Kohsion und unter Annahme kreiszylindrischer Gleitflichen, Ernst, Berlin.

FHWA , 1985, Design of spur type stream bank stabilization structures, Federal Highway Administration, Report FHWA-RD-84/101.

FHWA , 2009, Bridge pressure flow scour for clear water condition. Federal Highway Administration, Report FHWA-HRT-09-041.

FHWA, 2015, Scour in cohesive soils, Federal Highway Administration, Report 009463.

Froehlich, D.C., 1988, Analysis of onsite measurements of scour at piers, Proceedings of the ASCE, National Hydraulic Engineering Conference, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

Galay, V., 1987, Erosion and sedimentation in the Nepal Himalaya. Ministry of Water Resources of His Majestys Government of Nepal.

Gingold, R.A., & Monaghan, J.J., 1977, Smoothed particle hydrodynamics: theory and application to non-spherical stars, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 181(3): 375389, https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/181.3.375

Graf, W.H., 1971, Hydraulics of Sediment Transport, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Grotentraast, G.J., Bouman, W.J., Gelok, A.J., & Schuitemaker, I.B.M., 1988, Cultuurtechnisch Vademecum, Uitgave Cultuurtechnische Vereniging, Utrecht, ISBN 90-9002366-6.

Halcrow & Partners, DHI, 1993, River training studies of the Brahmaputra river, Dhaka, Bangladesh. 271 Haskoning, 2002, River bank erosion and bank protection review Chandpur Town, Lower Meghna, Mission Report, Royal Haskoning, Project 9M083521, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

Haskoning, 2006, Kribben van de Toekomst, kennisdocument over kribben in binnen- en buitenland, Royal Haskoning, reference 9R8485.A0/R0001/WDJO/MJANS/Nijm, Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

Hawkswood, M., & King, M., 2016, Bridge scour protection, Proceedings of the ICSE-8, London.

HEC-18, 2012, Evaluating Scour at Bridges (5th edition), Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18, Publication no FHWA-HIF-12-003, U.S.

HEC-23, 2009, Bridge Scour and Stream Instability Countermeasures: Experience, Selection, and Design Guidance (3rd edition), Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 23 FHWA-NHI-09-111.

Herbich, J.B., 1991, Scour around pipelines, piles and sea walls, in: Handbook of Coastal and Ocean Engineering, 2, pp. 861958, Gulf Publishing Company, Houston.

Hewlett, H.W.M., Boorman, L.A., & Bramley, M.E., 1987, Design of reinforced grass waterways, construction industry research and information association, Report No. 116, London.

Hjorth, P., 1975, Studies on the Nature of Local Scour, Department of Water Resources Engineering, Bulletin, Series A, 46, Lund Institute of Technology, University of Lund, Lund.

Hjulstrm, F., 1935, The morphological activity of rivers as illustrated by River Fyris, PhD thesis, Bulletin Geological Institute, Uppsala 25, Ch. III.

Hoan, N.T., 2008, Stone stability under non-uniform flow, PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft. Hoeve, J.P., 2015, Rapid assessment tool for turbulence in backward facing step flow, MSc thesis, University of Twente.

Hoeve, J.B., Voortman, H.G., Ribberink, J.S., Warmink, J.J., & Uijttewaal, W.S.J., 2018, Rapid assessment of turbulence for feasibility design of hydraulic structures, River Flow2018, IAHR Committee on Fluvial Hydraulics, Lyon-Villeurbanne, France, September 58.

- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 1990, Concentration and flow velocity measurements in a local scour hole, Report 4-90, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Hydraulic and Geotechnical Engineering Division, Delft University of Technology, Delft.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 1992, Two-dimensional mathematical modelling of local-scour holes, Doctoral thesis, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Hydraulic and Geotechnical Engineering Division, Delft University of Technology, Delft.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 1993, A hydraulic and morphological criterion for upstream slopes in local-scour holes, Report W-DWW-93-255, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division, Delft.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 1994, Scour due to submerged jets (in Dutch), Report W-DWW-94-303, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division, Delft.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 1995, Ontgrondingen rondom brugpijlers en aan de kop van kribben, Report W-DWW-94-312, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division, Delft, the Netherlands.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 2009, Closure problem to jet scour, Journal of Hydraulic Research, 47(1): 100109. Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 2010, Stability of stones under uniform flow, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 136(2) (February 1, 2010 and Hoffmans 2012).
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., 2012, The Influence of Turbulence on Soil Erosion, Deltares Select Series 10, ISBN 978-90-5972-682-6, Eburon, Delft.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Booij, R., 1993a, The influence of upstream turbulence on local-scour holes, Proceedings of the 25th IAHR-Congress, Tokyo (also Ministry of Transport, Public 272Works and Water Management, Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division, Delft, Report W-DWW-93251).
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Booij, R., 1993b, Two-dimensional mathematical modelling of local-scour holes, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 31(5): 615634.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Pilarczyk, K.W., 1995, Local scour downstream of hydraulic structures, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 121(4): 326340.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Verheij, H.J., 1997, Scour Manual. Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, ISBN 90-800356-2-9.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Verheij, H.J., 2011, Jet scour, Proceedings of the ICE Maritime Engineering, 164(4): 185193.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., Hardeman, B., & Verheij, H.J., 2014, Erosion of grass covers at transitions and objects on dikes, Proceedings of the ICSE-7, Perth.
- Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., & Buschman, F., 2018, Turbulence approach for predicting scour at abutments, Proceedings of the ICSE-9, Taipei, Taiwan.
- Hofland, B., 2005, Rock and Roll, Turbulence-induced damage to granular bed protections, Doctoral thesis, Delft University of Technology, Delft.
- Hopkins, G.R., Vance, R.W., & Kasraie, B., 1980, Scour around bridge piers, Report FHWA-RD-79-103, Federal Highway Administration, Offices of Research & Development Environmental Division, Washington, D.C.
- Huismans, Y., van Velzen, G., OMahoney, T.S.D., Hoffmans, G., & Wiersma, A.P., 2016, Scour hole development in river beds with mixed sand-clay-peat stratigraphy, Proceedings of the ICSE-8, London. ICOLD, 2015, Internal erosion of existing dams, levees and dikes, and their foundations, Volume 1: Internal erosion processes and engineering assessment. International Commission on Large Dams, Paris. Final preprint 19 February 2015 from: http://www.icoldcigb.org
- Inglis, C.C., 1949, The behaviour and control of rivers and canals, Research Publication 13, C.W.I.N.R.S. Poona.
- Izbash, S.V., 1932, Construction of Dams by Dumping Stones into Flowing Water Design and Practice, Transactions of the Scientific Research Institute of Hydrotechnics, Leningrad, Vol. XVII, 1265 (translated by A. Dovjikov. Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army, Eastport District, Eastport, Maine, 1935).
- Izbash, S.V., & Khaldre, K.Y., 1970, Hydraulics of River Channel Closures, Butterworths, London. Jacobsen, N.G., Van Velzen, G., & Fredse, J., 2014, Analysis of pile scour and associated hydrodynamic forces using proper orthogonal decomposition, 7th International Conference of Scour and Erosion, Perth, Australia.
- Jansen, P.Ph. (Edt), 1979, Principles of river engineering, the non-tidal alluvial river, Pitman, London. Johnson, P.A., 1992, Reliability-based pier scour engineering, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 118(10): 13441358.
- Johnson, P.A., 1995, Comparison of pier-scour equations using field data, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 121(8): 626629.
- Jongeling, T.H.G., Blom, T., Jagers, A., Stolker, C., & Verheij, H., 2003, Design method granular protections, Delft Hydraulics, Technical Report Q2933/Q3018, Delft (in Dutch).
- Jongeling, T.H.G., Jagers, H.R.A., & Stolker, C., 2006, Design of granular bed protections using a RANS 3D-flow model, ISSMGE Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Scour and Erosion, Amsterdam.
- Jorissen, R.E., & Vrijling, J.K., 1989, Local scour downstream of hydraulic constructions, Proceedings 23rd IAHR-congress, Ottawa, B433B440.
- Kalinske, Ä.A., 1947, Movement of sediment as bed load in rivers, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, 28(4): 615620.

- 273 Karelle, Y., et al., 2016, A full scale propeller wash erosion test on heterogeneous cohesive material, 8th International Conference on Scour and Erosion, London.
- Khosronejad, A., Kang, S., & Sotiropoulos, F., 2012, Experimental and computational investigation of local scour around bridge piers. Advance Water Resources Research, 37: 7385.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.09.013.

- Kidanemariam, A.G., & Uhlmann, M., 2014, Interface-resolved direct numerical simulation of the erosion of a sediment bed sheared by laminar channel flow, International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 67: 174188. 10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2014.08.008.
- Kim, H.Ś., Nabi, M., Kimura, I., & Shimizu, Y., 2014, Numerical investigation of local scour at two adjacent cylinders, Advance Water Resources Research, 70: 131147, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2014.04.018.
- Kim, H.S., Nabi, M., Kimura, I., & Shimizu, Y., 2015, Computational modeling of flow and morphodynamics through rigid-emergent vegetation. Advance Water Resources Research, 84: 6486, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.07.020.
- Kirk, K.J., 2001, Instability of blanket bog slopes on Cuilcagh Mountain, N.W. Ireland, PhD thesis, University of Huddersfield.
- Klaassen, G.J.R., & Vermeer, K., 1988, Confluence scour in large braided rivers with fine bed material, International Conference on Fluvial Hydraulics, Budapest, Hungary.
- Klaassen, G.J., van Duivendijk, H., & Sarker, M.H., 2012, Performance review of Jamuna bridge river training works 19972009. River Flow, I: 316.
- Konter, J.L.M., 1976, Stormvloedkering Oosterschelde, Werkgroep 8, Vormgeving en constructie sluitgaten, WL 844, Ontgrondingen bij de putten van de pijleroplossing, Report M1385, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.

Konter, J.L.M., 1982, Texaco Mittleplate platforms, Scour and bed protection, Report M1841, Delft Hydraulics, Delft.

- Konter, J.L.M., & van der Meulen, T., 1986, Influence of upstream sand transport on local scour, Symposium on Scale Effects in Modelling Sediment Transport Phenomena, IAHR, Toronto.
- Konter, J.L.M., & Jorissen, R.E., 1989, Prediction of time development of local scour, Hydraulic Engineering Conference, ASCE, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Konter, J.L.M., Jorissen, R.E., & Klatter, H.E., 1992, Afsluitdammen regels voor het ontwerp, Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management, Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division, Delft.
- Koote, M. , 2017, A new physics based method for estimating the excess turbulence downstream of a structure, MSc thesis, University of Twente.
- Launder, B.E., & Spalding, D.B., 1972, Mathematical Models of Turbulence, Academic Press, London. Laursen, E.M., & Toch, A., 1956, Scour Around Bridge Piers and Abutments, Bulletin 4, Iowa Highway Research Board, State University of Iowa, Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research, Iowa City.
- Laursen, E.M., 1960, Scour at bridge crossings, Journal of Hydraulic Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 86(2): 3954.
- Lindenberg, J., & Koning, H.L., 1981, Critical density of sand, Geotechnique, 31(2): 231245.
- Liu, H.K., Chang, F.M., & Skinner, M.M. 1961, Effect of bridge construction on scour and backwater, Colorado State University, Department of Civil Engineering, Report CER60-HKL22.
- Lu, S.S., & Willmarth, W.W., 1973, Measurements of the structure of the Reynolds stress in a turbulent boundary layer, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 60(3): 481511.
- Mason, P.J., & Arumugam, K., 1985, Free jet scour below dams and flip buckets, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 111(2): 220235.
- Mastbergen, D.R., & Berg, J.H. van den, 2003, Breaching in fine sands and the generation of sustained turbidity currents in submarine canyons. Sedimentology, 50: 635637.
- 274 May, R.W.P., Ackers, J.C., & Kirby, A.M., 2002, Manual on scour at bridges and other hydraulic structures. CIRIA Report C551, ISBN 0860175510.
- Melchers, R.E., 2002, Structural Reliability Analysis and Prediction, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken NJ, ISBN 047-1983-241
- Melville, B.W., & Coleman, S.E., 2000, Bridge Scour. Water Resources Publications, Colorado, ISBN 1-887 201-18-1.
- Mesbahi, J., 1992, On combined scour near Groynes in river bends, Delft Hydraulics (also UNESCO/IHE MSc thesis), Delft.
- Mirtskhoulava, TsYe., 1988, Basic Physics and Mechanics of Channel Erosion, Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad. Mirtskhoulava, TsYe., 1991, Scouring by flowing water of cohesive and non-cohesive beds, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 29(3): 341354.
- Monaghan, J.J., 1992, Smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 30: 543574, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.002551
- Mosselman, E. , Shishikura, T. , & Klaassen, G.J. , 2000, Effect of bank stabilization on bend scour in anabranches of braided rivers, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B, 25(78): 699704.
- Mosonyi, E., & Schoppmann, B., 1968, Ein Beitrag zur Erforschung von rtlichen Auskolkungen hinter geneigten Befestigungsstrecken in Abhngigkeit der Zeit, Mitteilungen des Theodor-Rehbock-Flubaulaboratoriums, Universitt Karlsruhe.
- Nabi, M., De Vriend, H.J., Mosselman, E., Sloff, C.J., & Shimizu, Y., 2012, Detailed simulation of morphodynamics: 1. Hyrodynamic model, Water Resources Research, 48: W12523. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012WR011911.

- Nabi, M. , De Vriend, H.J. , Mosselman, E. , Sloff, C.J. , & Shimizu, Y. , 2013a, Detailed simulation of morphodynamics: 2. Sediment pick-up, transport and deposition, Water Resources Research, 49: 47754791. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20303.
- Nabi, M., De Vriend, H.J., Mosselman, E., Sloff, C.J., & Shimizu, Y., 2013b, Detailed simulation of morphodynamics: 3. Ripple and dunes, Water Resources Research, 49: 59305943. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20457.
- Nakagawa, H., & K. Suzuki, 1976, Local scour around bridge pier in tidal current, Coastal Engineering in Japan, 19: 89100.
- NCHRP, 2007, Countermeasure Concepts and Criteria, NCHRP, Report 587 National Co-operative Highway Research Program, 2007. Countermeasure Concepts and Criteria, NCHRP, Report 587 National Co-operative Highway Research Program, 2007.
- NCHRP, 2011, Evaluation of Bridge Scour Research: Pier Scour Processes and Predictions, Robert Ettema, George Constantinescu, Bruce Melville
- Neill, C.R., 1968, A Re-examination of the Beginning of Movement for Coarse Granular Bed Materials, Hydraulic Research Station, Wallingford.
- Neill, C.R., 1973, Guide to Bridge Hydraulics, University of Toronto Press, Toronto.
- NEN 3651, 2012, Aanvullende eisen voor buisleidingen in of nabij waterstaatswerken, NEN, Delft Nezu, I., 1977, Turbulent Structure in Open-Channel Flows (Translation of Doctoral Dissertation in Japanese), Kyoto University, Kyoto.
- Nezu, I., & Nakagawa, H., 1993, Turbulence in Open-Channel Flows, Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Nezu, I., & Tominaga, A., 1994, Response of velocity and turbulence to abrupt changes from smooth to rough beds in open-channel flows, Proceedings of Symposium, Fundamentals and Advancements in Hydraulic Measurements and Experimentation, ASCE, Buffalo, NY, 195204.
- Nicoud, F., 2007, Unsteady Flows Modelling and Computation, University Montpellier II and I3M-CNRS UMR 5149, France (franck.nicoud@univ-montp2.fr)
- Odgaard, A.J., 1981, Transverse slope in alluvial channel bends, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 107(HY12): 16771694.
- OMahoney, T., 2018, Flow field weir Grave during calamity (in Dutch). Technical Report, Deltares, Delft. 275 Osman, A.M., & Thorne, C.R., 1988, Riverbank stability analysis (I: theory and II: Applications). Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 114(2): 134172.
- Paintal, A.S., 1971, Concept of critical shear stress in loose boundary open channels, Journal of Hydraulic Research, 9(1): 91113.
- PIANC, 2015, Guidelines for protecting berthing structures from scour by ships. PIANC Report 180, Brussels.
- Pilarczyk, K.W., 1984, Interaction water motion and closing elements, in: The Closure of Tidal Basins: 387405, Ed. Board: Huis in t Veld, J.C. et al, Delft University Press, Delft.
- Pilarczyk, K.W., 2003, Design of revetments. Dutch Public Works Department, Report.
- Przedwojski, B., Blazejewski, R., & Pilarczyk, K.W., 1995, River Training Techniques: Fundamentals, Design and Applications, Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Qayoum, A. , 1960, Die Gesetzmigkeit der Kolkbildung hinter unterstrmten Wehren unter spezieller Bercksichtigung der Gestaltung eines beweglichen Sturzbettes, Dissertation, Technischen Hochschule Carolo-Wilhelmina, Braunschweig, Germany.
- Raaijmakers, T.C., van Velzen, G., Hoffmans, G.J.C.M., Bijlsma, A., Verbruggen, W., & Stronk, J., 2012, Stormvloedkering Oosterschelde: ontwikkeling ontgrondingskuilen en stabiliteit bodembescherming. Rapport 1206907-003, Deltares, Delft.
- Rajaratnam, N., 1976, Turbulent Jets, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Rajaratnam, N., 1981, Erosion by plane turbulent jets, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 19(4): 339358. Rajaratnam, N., & Berry, B., 1977, Erosion by circular turbulent wall jets, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 15(3): 277289.
- Raudkivi, A.J. , 1993, Sedimentation: Exclusion and Removal of Sediment from Diverted Water, Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Raudkivi, A.J., & Ettema, R., 1985, Scour at cylindrical bridge piers in armoured beds, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 111(4): 713731.
- Richardson, E.V., Simons, D.B., & Julien, P., 1990, Highways in the River Environment, FHWA-HI-90-016, Federal Highway Administration, US. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- RIZA, 2005a, Ontgrondingskuilen in de Waal, RIZA werkdocument 2005.082x
- RIZA, 2005b, Inschatting van de kans op achterloopsheid bij kribben, RIZA werkdocument 2005.148X. Rmisch, K., & Schmidt, E., 2012, Durch Schiffspropeller verursachte Kolkbildung, HANSA International Maritime Journal, 1012(9): 171175.
- Roubos, A.A., Blokland, T., & van der Plas, A.F., 2014, Field tests propeller scour along quay walls, PIANC congress San Francisco, USA.
- Ruff, J.F., Abt, S.R., Mendoza, C., Shaikh, A., & Kloberdanz, R., 1982, Scour at culvert outlets in mixed bed materials, Report FHWA/RD-82/011, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Sagaut, P., Deck, D., & Terracol, M., 2006, Multiscale and Multiresolution Approaches in Turbulence, Imperial College Press, London.
- Schiereck, G.J., & Verhagen, H.J., 2012, Introduction to Bed, Bank and Shore Protection, VSSD, Delft.

- Schlichting, H., 1951, Grenzschichttheorie, Braunsche Hofbuchdruckerei, Karlsruhe.
- Schoklitsch, A., 1932, Kolkbildung unter berfallstrahlen, Die Wasserwirtschaft, 24: 341343.
- Schoklitsch, A., 1935, Stauraumverlandung und Kolkabwehr, Springer, Vienna.
- Schoklitsch, A., 1962, Die Kolkbildung, Handbuch des Wasserbaues, 1: 200210.
- Shafii, I., Briaud, J.L., Chen, H.C., & Shidlovskaya, A., 2016, Relationship between soil erodibility and engineering properties, Proceedings of the ICSE-8, London.
- Shalash, M.S.E., 1959, Die Kolkbildung beim Ausflu unter Schtzen, Dissertation, Technischen Hochschule Mnchen, Germany.
- 276 Sheppard, D.M., & Glasser, T.L., 2004, Sediment Scour at Piers with Complex Geometries (2nd edition), ICSE, Singapore.
- Shields, A., 1936, Anwendung der hnlichkeitsmechanik und der Turbulenzforschung auf die
- Geschiebebewegung, Mitteilungen Preussischen Versuchsanstalt fr Wasserbau und Schiffbau, 26, Berlin. Silvis, F., 1988, Orinterende studie naar grondmechanische aspecten bij ontgrondings- kuilen, Report CO-291720/12, Grondmechanica Delft, Delft.
- Sloff, C.J., van Spyk, A., Stouthamer, E., & Sieben, A., 2013, Understanding and managing the morphology of branches incising into sand-clay deposits in the Dutch Rhine Delta, International Journal of Sediment Research, 28(2), 127138.
- Smith, W.L., 1999, Local structure-induced sediment scour at pile groups, MS thesis, UFL/COEL-99/003, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Sonneville, B. , Joustra, R. , & Verheij, H. , 2014, Winnowing at circular piers under currents, 7th ICSE, Perth.
- Sowers, G.F. , 1979, Introductory soil mechanics and foundations, Geotechnical Engineering, Macmillan Publishers
- Stoutjesdijk, T., de Kleine, M., de Ronde, J., & Raaijmakers, T., 2012, Stormvloedkering Oosterschelde: ontwikkeling ontgrondingskuilen en stabiliteit bodembescherming, Hoofdrapport, Deltares-Rapport 1206907-005.
- Straathof, J. , 2015, Bodembescherming Rond Brugpijlers, Afstudeerscriptie, Hogeschool van Amsterdam, Amsterdam.
- Struiksma, N., Olesen, K.W., Flokstra, C., & de Vriend, H.J., 1985, Bed deformation in curved alluvial channels, Journal of Hydraulic Research, IAHR, 23(1): 5779.
- Struiksma, N., & Verheij, H., 1995, Bend scour, Personal Communications (Unpublished).
- TAW , 2003, Leidraad Kunstwerken, Technische Adviescommissie Waterkeringen, document DWW-2003-059, ISBN 90-369-5544-0, Delft
- ten Brinke, W.B.M., Kruyt, N.M., Kroon, A., & Van Den Berg, J.H., 1999, Erosion of Sediments between Groynes in the River Waal as a Result of Navigation Traffic: 147160, Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Hoboken NJ. ten Brinke, W.B.M., 2003, De sedimenthuishouding van kribvakken langs de Waal: het langjarig gedrag van kribvak stranden, de invloed van scheepsgenduceerde waterbeweging en morfologische processen bij hoge en lage afvoeren. Min. van V & W, RWS, RIZA Rapport 2003.002, ISBN 9036954827, Lelystad.
- ten Brinke, W.B.M., Schulze, F.H., & van Der Veer, P., 2004, Sand exchange between groyne field beaches and the navigation channel of the Dutch Rhine: the impact of navigation versus river flow, River Research and Applications, 20(8): 899928.
- Thorne, C.R., 1993, Prediction of near-bank velocity and scour depth in meander bends for design of riprap revetments, in: River, coastal and shoreline protection: erosion control using riprap and armour stone, Riprap Workshop, Fort Collins, Colorado: 9801007.
- Tsujimoto, T., 1988, Analytical approach to some practical aspects of local scour around bridge piers, In: P.A. Kolkman, J. Lindenberg, & K.W. Pilarczyk (eds), Modelling Soil-Water-Structure Interactions (SOWAS 88), Proceedings of the International Symposium, Delft: 137145, Balkema, Rotterdam.
- US Bureau of Reclamation , 1955, Research studies on stilling basins, energy dissi-pators, and associated appurtenances, Hydraulic Laboratory Report Hyd-399.
- van den Ham, G.A., de Groot, M.B., & Mastbergen, D.R., 2014, A semi-empirical method to assess flow-slide probability. In: Krastel et al. (eds), Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium Submarine Mass Movements and Their Consequences, 213223, Springer International Publishing, Swiss.
- van der Meulen, T., & Vinj, J.J., 1975, Three-dimensional local scour in noncohesive sediments, Proceedings of the 16th LAHR-Congress, Sao Paulo, Brasil (also Delft Hydraulics, Delft, Publication 180). 277 van der Wal, M., 1991, Investigation to scour near a horizontal constriction, Report Q935. Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- van Mierlo, M.C.L.M., & de Ruiter, J.C.C., 1988, Turbulence measurements above dunes; Report Q789, vol. 1 and 2, Deltares, Delft.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1982, Equivalent roughness of alluvial bed, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE, 110(10): 14311456.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1984, Sediment transport, Part 1: Bed load transport, Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 110(10): 14311456; Part 2: Suspended load transport, 110(11): 16131641; Part 3: Bed forms and alluvial roughness, 110(12): 17331754.
- van Rijn, L.C., 1985, Mathematical modelling for sediment concentration profiles in steady flow, In W. Bechteler (ed.), Transport of Suspended Solids in Open Channels, Proceedings of the Euromech 192, Munich/Neubiberg, Balkema, Rotterdam (also Delft Hydraulics, Delft, Publication 354).

- van Rijn, L.C., 1993, Principles of Sediment Transport in Rivers, Estuaries and Coastal Seas, Aqua Publications, Amsterdam.
- Van Rijn, L.C., 2007, Manual Sediment Transport Measurements in Rivers, Estuaries and Coastal Seas, Aqua Publications, Amsterdam.
- van Velzen, G. , Raaijmakers, T.C. , & Hoffmans, G.J.C.M. , 2014, Scour development around the Eastern Scheldt storm surge barrier-field measurements and model predictions, ICSE-7, Perth, Australia.
- Vanoni, V.A., 1966, Sediment transportation mechanics: Initiation of motion, (Progress Report of Task Committee), Journal of Hydraulics Division, ASCE, 92(HY2): 291314.
- Vanoni, V.A. (ed.), 1977, Sedimentation Engineering: Manual 54, ASCE, New York, ISBN 978-0-7844-7134-0.
- Veiga da Cunha, L., 1971, Erosoes lokalizadas junta de obstculos salientes de margens (Local scour at obstacles protruding from river banks), Thesis presented to the University of Lisbon, LNEC, Lisboa, Portugal. Veronese, A., 1937, Erosioni di fondo a valle di uno scarico, Annali dei Lavori Pubblici, 75(9), 717726.
- Vrijling, J.K., 1990, Probabilistic design of flood defences, In: K.W. Pilarczyk (ed.), Coastal Protection, Proceedings of the Short Course, Delft: 3999, Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Vrolijk, J.W.H., Kruyt, C., & Van der Wal, M., 2005, Innovative abutments, Prototype experiment Haaften. T0-measurement [in Dutch], Draft Report, Oranjewoud, Heerenveen, The Netherlands.
- VTV , 2016, Voorschrift Toetsen op Veiligheid, Technisch Deel (WTI2017), Rapport Deltares, 1220078-000, Delft.
- Whittaker, J.G., & Schleiss, A., 1984, Scour related to energy dissipators for high head structures, Mitteilungen der Versuchsanstalt fr Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie, 73, Technischen Hochschule Zrich, Switzerland.
- Wilderom, M.H., 1979, Resultaten van het vooronderzoek langs de Zeeuwse stromen, Report 75.2, Rijkswaterstaat, Directie Waterhuishouding en Waterbeweging Studiedienst Vlissingen.
- Winterwerp, J.C., 1989, Cohesive Sediments, Flow Induced Erosion of Cohesive Beds, Rijkswaterstaat/Delft Hydraulics, Delft.
- Winterwerp, J.C., van Kesteren, W.G.M., van Prooijen, B., & Jacobs, W., 2012, A conceptual framework for shear flow-induced erosion of soft cohesive sediment beds, Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, C10020.
- Yalin, M.S., 1972, Mechanics of Sediment Transport, Pergamon, New York.
- Yalin, M.S., 1992, River Mechanics, Pergamon, New York.
- Yerroa, A., Rohe, A., & Sogac, K., 2017, Modelling internal erosion with the material point method, Conference: 1st International Conference on the Material Point Method, MPM, Delft, the Netherlands. 278 Yossef, M.F.M., 2002, The effects of groynes on river, a literature review, Technical Report, Delft University.
- Zanke, U., 1978, Zusammenhnge zwischen Strmung und Sedimenttransport, Teil 1: Berechnung des Sedimenttransportes, -allgemeiner Fall-, Teil 2: Berechnung des Sedimenttransportes hinter befestigten Sohlenstrecken, -Sonderfall zweidimensionaler Kolk-, Mitteilungen des Franzius-Instituts der TU Hannover, Heft 47, 48.
- Zanke, U., 1994, Kolkschutz durch integrierte Schtteinrichtungen, Wasser und Boden, 2: 2022.
- Zhang, X., Chen, Z., & Liu, Y., 2016, The Material Point Method, A Continuum-Based Particle Method for Extreme Loading Cases, eBook ISBN: 9780124078550, Hardcover ISBN: 9780124077164, Academic Press, Cambridge, MA.